

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

8 STACEN OMAR OUTHOUMMOUNTRY,) Case No.: 1:22-cv-00104-SAB (PC)
9 Plaintiff,)
10 v.) ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO SHOW
11 N. PASCUA, et al.,) CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE
12 Defendants.) DISMISSED
13) (ECF No. 21)
14)

15 Plaintiff Stacen Omar Outhoummountry is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil
16 rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

17 On April 21, 2022, the Court screened Plaintiff's second complaint, found no cognizable
18 claims, granted Plaintiff one final opportunity to amend the complaint. (ECF No. 21.) Plaintiff has
19 not responded to the Court's order and the time to do so has expired. Accordingly, within **fourteen**
20 **(14)** days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause why this action should not
21 be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order. Plaintiff's failure to
22 comply with this order will result in a recommendation to dismiss the action.

23
24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: May 31, 2022

26 
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE